

# CADROIT/CARBOHYDRATE CONTACT REPORT

23 February 1955

Date, Time, and Place of Meeting: 21 Feb 55, 1900-2130, CARBOHYDRATE's Apt.

Present: a. CARBOHYDRATE b. ☐ ☐

## 1. CARBOHYDRATE delivered:

a. Written report of arrest of MA August Radestock. He visited CADROIT regularly each month until Dec 54, taking back to the zone approx 20-30 copies of CADROIT material with him for distribution in Finsterwalde. The reasons for the arrest are not known, but it is possible at least possible that they were connected with his distribution of CADROIT material. MA had been arrested five years ago and sentenced at that time to three years for economic sabotage and his furniture store had been confiscated.

b. Written report on arrest of MA Wilhelm Kettritz, b. 21/1 1887. MA had been recruited by Dr. Linse through a friend of the latter's in 1951. MA had been handled until Linse's kidnapping with a friend named Karl Viehl, another MA of CADROIT. Linse wrote Kettritz a number of times in 1951 under the covername, "KARL KAMAI" at the latter's address. (KAMAI later transferred to CADROW and came into contact with ☐ ☐—this is not known by CARBOHYDRATE, of course). These letters were sent from West Berlin. On his last visit in 1951 Kettritz noted that the last letter had been opened, and asked that letters to him be sent from East Berlin. In Dec 51 Viehl reported that he was under observation and that Kettritz was to be interrogated on 20/12/51 (by whom is not noted in the file). Kettritz reported on 14 Jan 52 that his interrogation had nothing to do with CADROIT—it had only been stated to him that he had to often complain about DDR expropriations. In Feb 52 Viehl reported that Kettritz had been questioned in his apt. why he traveled so often to West Berlin and how he managed to live now that his business had been practically taken away from him. In April 52 Kettritz reported that he had noted no observation, did not feel himself to be endangered, and wanted to stay in the DDR. Viehl fled in April 52 after Kettritz had told him he had heard from VOGO friends that Viehl was to be arrested. Kettritz was warned by CADROIT immediately after Linse's kidnapping. He visited CADROIT on 17/7/52 and asked that he not be contacted in any way—he would visit CADROIT on his own initiative as possible. Kettritz was arrested on 3/3 53 by the SSD. On 31/7/53 CADROIT received a telephone call from a person purporting to be Kettritz (i.e., using his covername) saying that he would visit CADROIT shortly. CARBO states this call was obviously made by the SFS in order to confirm K's connection with CADROIT. K was sentenced in Sept 53 for CADROIT work. In March 54 a visit was received by a man who had been in jail with K. The visitor stated K had told him his wife had received a warning by telephone two days before his arrest to the effect "Greet BEI (CADROIT covername assigned K) for me and tell him that he should flee." However, K failed to act upon the warning. K also supposedly told the visitor that he had very probably been arrested since he had been stupid enough to remark in front of a supposed friend that "he would see to it that a person who fled the DDR only out of criminal grounds would not be recognised as a political refugee". The warning had not come from CADROIT.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2006

CARBO supposes that it probably came from a Western-~~nationalist~~ oriented member of the VOPO or SFS who had access to intercepts on K. (COMMENT: This case has been described in some detail since it ~~raises~~ raises an unusual problem--how to prevent the SFS from confirming the connection between ~~an~~ a suspected MA and CADROIT by ~~making~~ telephoning CADROIT for the purported purpose of arranging a meeting? It should be noted that this could not happen very often, since the SFS would have to know the CADROIT covername assigned to the MA, which it had apparently learned in this case from the MA. Neither CARBO, CADROIT, nor the undersigned have as yet found a fool proof ~~method~~ solution to the problem, however, even granting that the method could not be much used to identify MA, but could be used only to secure proof for court or confirm suspicions which must already be very serious if the SFS has the CADROIT covername. A code word could in theory be arranged with each MA who telephones in to set up meetings which would indicate whether it were really the MA or not, whether he were calling under pressure or not, etc. But such a system would be a little too complicated to work out--the MA would forget the code word or ~~may~~ misuse it, or the person answering the phone (Frau Blum in this case) would misunderstand it. It should be remembered that the persons ~~receiving~~ receiving calls to CADROIT are not necessarily those who are familiar with the MA--~~the~~ the case officer thinks that ~~there~~ there may be no perfect solution to the problem. A similar problem exists at some bases (if an unauthorized caller had the covername of an agent he could probably get someone to talk to him or ~~arrange~~ discuss possibilities of meeting) and at the home office, (where a call coming in for a staffer was automatically shifted to him without much inquiry into the identity of the caller in many cases.).

c. CARBO also delivered four pages of SFS reports. For CART.

d. Written request for info re Heinz Mohr, b. 4/1/10, ~~was~~ was ever ~~an~~ intelligence source for U.S. CADROIT has been queried ~~by~~ by Heinkelstr-Betreuungsstelle of Bezirksamt Neukölln. Mohr told CADROIT that he had been so connected with an American office in 1950. The C/O was supposedly named Barts or ~~was~~ Bearts. Mohr supposedly signed a statement of obligation and ~~Fragebogen~~. It is known the He had a criminal record in the West and CADROIT suspects he might have gone East to escape further court action.

2. CARBO reported that as requested he had discussed the CARCARANA 2 divorce with Ladell (but not with Henard). Ladell was not greatly disturbed by the matter and felt that:

a. CARBO could without difficulty sign the draft affidavit earlier given the undersigned

b. CARBO could strengthen this draft if desired by stating the ~~whole~~ whole truth except that CARBO would not state that he had recruited CARCARANA and introduced him to an American office, but would state that he had given CARCARANA an address which he had learned through his own refugee screening when CARCARANA had asked where to go to offer his services

c. it was not clear that the present grounds alleged by CARCARANA's lawyer would suffice to get a divorce

d. It would therefore be wise to add "alienation of affections" (Ehewidrige Beziehungen) as another reason ~~whereby~~ why CARCARANA 2 should be granted a divorce. This would require Simoni to get CARCARANA to agree to state that he had had such connections. The lawyer for CARCARANA 2 would also have to be induced to do this ground.

e. It would be wise to make sure that a divorce is secured in the present court (Fran Land Court) rather than have the divorce ~~case~~ refused there and have ~~the~~ another divorce action begun in the Oberlandesgericht (which is much more reluctant to grant divorces)

f. Re the question can the original allegations of espionage be withdrawn, the answer is clearly ~~yes~~ in the affirmative. Carcarana 2's lawyers would only have to say that the grounds could not be proved. But LAIBELL fears that the Ehewidrige Beziehungen might not be enough ~~to~~ to get a divorce, particularly since the proof would consist in CARCARANA's admission that he had had such connections only.

3. CARBO ~~frames~~ frames the question to be decided as follows: does the undersigned object to his signing an affidavit to the effect that before his employment by CADROIT he carried out a private conversation with CARCARANA during which the latter offered to work for the West, but only for a Western organization which could offer him financial security. The affidavit would then say that CARBO had replied by giving an address which he had learned during his own refugee screening.

19

4. C reported orally that on ~~the~~ Feb at 1530 as Wells was leaving the CADROIT bldg. the latter saw a red delivery truck ~~with~~ stopped at the corner of Klopstockstr from which movies were being made of Klopstockstr. The truck bore the name of a Steglitz firm. ~~Wells~~ returned to the bldg. and informed the policeman there who informed the ~~German~~ precinct (presumably by telephone). Wells had not been able to get the license number. He left the bldg., walked along Klopstockstr, and just as he approached Fischerhuettenstr. he saw the truck again. ~~with~~ Movies were still being taken from the truck. Near it stood a grey pleasure car. This time Wells got the numbers as follows: truck-KB 084 809; car--KB 833226. Then Wells went home (without calling the police to get a radio car there to see what sort of movies were being taken). When C checked with ~~Wierichs~~ of Abt. V on 21 Feb, he was informed that Abt V is attempting to learn the identities of the owners of the car and truck.

Addendum: Wells also noted that the driver of the truck was studying what appeared to be a map rather closely. *Numbers to be checked.*

5. Next Meetings: 24 Feb 55, 1900, C's Apt.